

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/855,688	05/16/2001	Tatsumi Hiramoto	208674US0	9204
22850	7590 01/06/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			SHAY, DAVID M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,	•	3739	10
			DATE MAILED: 01/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO

> EXAMINER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION THE PERIOD FOR REPLY: a) will expire 1 _ months from the date of the final Office action (including extensions of time granted). b) a expires either (1) three months from the mail date of the final Office action, or (2) on the mail date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than six months from the mail date of the final Office action. Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above. Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a). Applicant's reply to the final rejection, filed December has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance. 1. The proposed amendment to the claim and/or specifications will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. y new your + rosselly new mitte 2. Newly proposed or amended claim_ would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. 3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment uill be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claim allowed: __ Claims objected to: Claims rejected: 1-20 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. \Box The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because — 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier \square The proposed drawing correction \square has \square has not been approved by the examiner. Other_ Applicant may obtain further examination by filing a request for an application under 37 CFR 1.53(d) (CPA).

DAVID M. SHAY PRIMARY EXAMINER **GROUP 330**